This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problem Mailbox.



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/714,049	11/15/2000	Stepan B. Sokolov	5181-60100	4379	
75	7590 08/03/2004		EXAMINER		
Robert C. Kowert			ZHEN, WEI Y		
Conley Rose & Tayon PC PO Box 398			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Austin, TX 78767-0398			2122		
			DATE MAILED: 08/03/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No	ο,	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/714,049		SOKOLOV, STEPAN B.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Wei Y Zhen		2122				
The MAILIN Period for Reply	G DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cov	er sheet with the co	orrespondence add	dress			
A SHORTENED S THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may after SIX (6) MONTHS - If the period for reply s; - If NO period for reply is - Failure to reply within the Any reply received by the	TATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. from the mailing date of this communication. ecified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repspecified above, the maximum statutory period eset or extended period for reply will, by statute Office later than three months after the mailinstment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, ho ply within the statutory n d will apply and will expir te, cause the applicatior	wever, may a reply be tim ninimum of thirty (30) days e SIX (6) MONTHS from t to become ABANDONED	ely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C.§ 133).				
Status								
1) Responsive	to communication(s) filed on 23 /	April 2004.						
2a)☐ This action i	s FINAL. 2b)⊠ Thi	is action is non-fi	nal.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claim	5							
4a) Of the at 5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>26-</u> 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-</u> 7)□ Claim(s)	67 is/are pending in the application ove claim(s) is/are withdra 33 and 48-61 is/are allowed. 25,34-47 and 62-67 is/are rejected is/are objected to are subject to restriction and/	awn from conside						
Application Papers								
9)∏ The specifica	ition is objected to by the Examin	ner.						
10) The drawing	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	drawing sheet(s) including the corrected to by the E				•			
Priority under 35 U.S	.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachment(s)								
	n's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) e Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	4) [5) [6) [Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal Pa Other:	te	i-152)			

Art Unit: 2122

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This Office Action is in response to the office action filed on 4/23/2004.
- 2. The rejection to claims 11-12, 14, 18-20, 23-27, 29-33, 34-36, 40-44, 46-49, 50-52, 54-56, 58-59, 61-63, 65, and 67 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wang (U.S. Patent Number 6,292,936) in view of Hillson et al. (U.S. Patent Number 6,094,644) are hereby withdrawn in view of applicant's arguments.
- 3. The rejection to claim 13 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wang (U.S. Patent Number 6,292,936) in view of Hillson et al. (U.S. Patent Number 6,094,644) and further in view of "The Principles of Computer Hardware, Third Edition" by Alan Clements, 2000 is hereby withdrawn in view of applicant's arguments.
- 4. The rejections to claims 15-17, 37-39, 57, and 64 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wang (U.S. Patent Number 6,292,936) in view of Hillson et al. (U.S. Patent Number 6,094,644) and further in view of "Load-Time Structural Reflection in Java" by Shigeru Chiba, June 2000 is hereby withdrawn in view of applicant's arguments.
- 5. The rejections to claim 21, 22, 45, 53, 60, and 66 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wang (U.S. Patent Number 6,292,936) in view of Hillson et al. (U.S. Patent Number 6,094,644) and further in view of "The IR to VMx86 Translation Module Specification" by Chris Lattner, December 1999 is hereby withdrawn in view of applicant's arguments.
- 6. Claims 11-25, 34-47, 62-67 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness- type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 17 of copending Application No. 09/714,050.

Art Unit: 2122

Specification

7. The use of the trademarks "JAVA" and "JAVASCRIPT" have been noted in this application. They should be capitalized wherever they appear and be accompanied by the generic terminology.

Although the use of trademarks is permissible in patent applications, the proprietary nature of the marks should be respected and every effort made to prevent their use in any manner, which might adversely affect their validity as trademarks.

Double Patenting

8. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 11, 34 and 62 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness- type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 17 of copending Application No. 09/714,050. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because Claim 17 of the copending application No.

Art Unit: 2122

09/714,050 teaches the detecting, generating, interpreting, executing, and accessing one or more program objects steps, as taught in Claim 11 of the application. The current application teaches an intermediate language (platform independent language) whereas the copending application No. 09/714,050 specifies generating a platform-independent programming language (which can be seen as an intermediate language). Neither change makes a difference in the scope of the claim. This is a provisional obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claims 12-25 depend on claim 11 and are rejected.

Claims 35-47 depend on claim 34 and are rejected.

Claims 63-67 depend on claim 62 and are rejected.

Allowable Subject Matter

the cited prior arts taken alone or in combination fail to disclose, in combination with other claimed limitations, wherein the first process is implemented in a platform-independent programming language, generating an intermediate representation of the one or more script language instructions, wherein the intermediate representation of the one or more script language instructions is different from the script language as recited in independent claims 11, 34 and 62 and as pointed out on pp. 5-6 of the remark filed on 4/23/2004; the cited prior arts taken alone or in combination fail to disclose, in combination with other claimed limitations, if said examining determines the current tag of the markup language document identifies the portion of the markup language document as comprising script language instructions, passing execution to an interpreter engine implemented in the platform-independent programming language as recited in

Art Unit: 2122

independent claim 26 and as pointed out on p. 8 of the remark filed on 4/23/2004; the cited prior arts taken alone or in combination fail to disclose, in combination with other claimed limitations, wherein the Web browser is executable by the processor to: process a markup language document comprising a script comprising one or more script language instructions; detect the script in the markup language document; and provide the script to the interpreter engine as recited in independent claim 48 and as pointed out on p. 8 of the remark filed on 4/23/2004; the cited prior arts taken alone or in combination fail to disclose, in combination with other claimed limitations, a Web browser executable within the Java Virtual Machine to: detect one or more script language instructions in document, and a markup language pass execution to the interpreter engine in response to said detecting; wherein the interpreter engine is executable within the device to; generate an intermediate representation of the detected one or more script language instructions as recited in independent claim 56 and as pointed out on p. 9 of the remark filed on 4/23/2004.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Wei Y Zhen whose telephone number is (703) 305-0437. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8 a.m. - 4:30 p.m.

Art Unit: 2122

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tuan Dam can be reached on (703) 305-4552. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Wei Zhen 7/20/2004

WEI Y. ZHEN
PRIMARY EXAMINER